

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/585,864	07/11/2006	Patrick Dawson Bailey	BJS-39-326	7738
23117 7590 6800572008 NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			NIEBAUER, RONALD T	
ARLINGTON	ON, VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/585,864 BAILEY, PATRICK DAWSON Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit RONALD T. NIEBAUER 1654 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 July 2006. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-39 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | A | Interview Summary (PTO-413) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. | 5] | Notice of Information Disacts sums Statement(s) (PTO-948) | 5] | Notice of Information Disacts sums Statement(s) (PTO-948) | 5] | Notice of Information Disacts sums Statement(s) (PTO-948) | 5] | Notice of Information Disacts sums Statement(s) (PTO-948) | 5] | Notice of Information Disacts sums Statement (s) (PTO-948) | 5] | Notice of Information Disacts sums Statement (s) (PTO-948) | 5] | Notice of Information Disacts sums Statement (s) (PTO-948) | 5] | Notice of Information Disacts summary (PTO-948) | 5] | Notice of Information Disacts summary (PTO-948) | 7] | Notice of Information Disacts summary (PTO-948) | 7] | Notice of Information Disacts summary (PTO-948) | 7] | Notice of Information Disacts summary (PTO-948) | 7] | Notice of Information Disacts summary (PTO-948) | 7] | Notice of Information Disacts summary (PTO-948) | 7] | Notice of Information Disacts summary (PTO-948) | 7] | Notice of Information Disacts summary (PTO-948) | 7] | Notice of Information Disacts summary (PTO-948) | 7] | Notice of Information Disacts summary (PTO-948) | 7] | Notice of Information Disacts summary (PTO-948) | 7] | Notice of Information Disacts summary (PTO-948) | 7] | Notice of Information Disacts summary (PTO-948) | 7] | Notice of Information Disacts summary (PTO-948) | 7] | Notice of Information Disacts summary (PTO-948) | 7] | Notice of Information Disacts summary (PTO-948) | 7] | Notice of Information Disacts summary (PTO-948) | 7] | Notice of Information Disacts summary (PTO-948) | 7] | Notice of Information Disacts summary (PTO-948) | 7] | Notice of Information Disacts summary (PTO-948) | 7] | Notice of Information Disacts summary (PTO-948) | 7] | Notice of Information Disacts summary (PTO-948) | 7] | Notice of Information Disacts summary (PTO-948) | 7] | Notice of Information Disacts summary (PTO-948) | 7] | Notice of Information Disacts summary (PTO-948) | 7] | Notice of Information Disacts summary

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 1-39 are under consideration.

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group 1, claim(s) 1-27,38, drawn to compound comprising a thiopeptide or derivative or analogue thereof.

Group 2, claim(s) 28-32, drawn to a drug conjugate comprising a drug linked to a compound.

Group 3, claim(s) 33, drawn to a method of manufacturing a medicament.

Group 4, claim(s) 34-35, drawn to an assay method comprising detection.

Group 5, claim(s) 36-37,39, drawn to methods of treatment.

It is noted that claim 33 is drawn to a use claim (see MPEP 2173.05(q)). The claim is interpreted as being drawn to a method of manufacturing a medicament although applicant is invited to clarify the meaning of the claim.

The inventions listed as Groups 1-5 do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: PCT Rule 13.2 defines "special technical features" as "those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions,

Art Unit: 1654

considered as a whole, makes over the prior art." Nakamura et al. (Tetrahedron Letters 1995 as cited in IDS) teach thiopeptide compounds (scheme 1 on page 5060) for example. Claim 1 and 26 of the instant invention read on thiopeptides and derivatives or analogues thereof. The thiopeptide of Nakamura reads on thiopeptides and derivatives or analogues thereof of the instant invention. Thus the technical feature is not a contribution over the prior art and the claims lack unity.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Election of Species

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

If Group 1 is elected, species election is necessary for:

Compound: a specific compound should be identified such that all variable groups are
uniquely defined. For example, applicant could identify a unique structure by showing the
chemical structure or by reciting a formula (such as that of claim 8) and uniquely identifying all
of the variable groups.

If Group 2 is elected, species election is necessary for:

1. Drug conjugate: a specific drug conjugate should be identified such that all variable groups are uniquely defined. For example, applicant could identify a unique structure by showing the chemical structure or by reciting a specific drug (see page 15-16 of the specification) along with the compound along with the details of how the drug and compound are linked together.

Application/Control Number: 10/585,864 Page 4

Art Unit: 1654

If Group 3 is elected, species election is necessary for:

Drug conjugate: a specific drug conjugate should be identified such that all variable groups
are uniquely defined. For example, applicant could identify a unique structure by showing the
chemical structure or by reciting a specific drug (see page 15-16 of the specification) along with

the compound along with the details of how the drug and compound are linked together.

If Group 4 is elected, species election is necessary for:

Drug conjugate: a specific drug conjugate should be identified such that all variable groups
are uniquely defined. For example, applicant could identify a unique structure by showing the
chemical structure or by reciting a specific drug (see page 15-16 of the specification) along with

the compound along with the details of how the drug and compound are linked together.

If Group 5 is elected, species election is necessary for each of:

1. Drug conjugate: a specific drug conjugate should be identified such that all variable groups are uniquely defined. For example, applicant could identify a unique structure by showing the chemical structure or by reciting a specific drug (see page 15-16 of the specification) along with the compound along with the details of how the drug and compound are linked together.

2. Patient: a specific patient should be identified. For example, applicant could identify a patient

from those listed on page 18 lines 20-21.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims

shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify

the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An

argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive

unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of

claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the

limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP

§ 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

All claims are generic to at least one of the species.

Art Unit: 1654

There is an examination and search burden for the species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. Each of the species are structurally distinct and one of skill in the art would not recognize that every alternative would behave in the same way. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In

Application/Control Number: 10/585,864

Art Unit: 1654

either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained.

Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Art Unit: 1654

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to RONALD T. NIEBAUER whose telephone number is (571)270-

3059. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:30am-5:00pm, alt.

Friday, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached on 571-272-0562. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ronald T Niebauer/ Examiner, Art Unit 1654

/Anish Gupta/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1654